



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,889	05/10/2001	Saiyed Atiq Raza	0269525 RZ-001CIP	6156
27498	7590	06/09/2006	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			VAN DOREN, BETH	
P.O. BOX 10500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102				3623

reference") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,164,766 to Erickson (the "Erickson reference"). DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006

In response to the Examiner's rejections, Applicant has amended claims 1-2 and 19-20. Support for the amendments to claims 1-2 and 19 - 20 is found in Page 24 Lines 5-24. No new matter is being added. Applicant also submits a request for a three month extension of time and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) along with the amendment. The amendments made to the claims should help clarify the scope and languages of claims and remove any ambiguities.

Rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claim 1 is rejected as being anticipated by the Shinada reference. The Office Action on page 5 further states that "the bottom and the top of the claimed chamber are not clearly recited in the rejected claims".

In response, Applicant has amended claim 1 to further clarify the directional orientation of the claimed chamber and the location of the communication hole between the chambers. The communication between the plurality of rooms in the presently claimed invention occurs through the upper portion. On the other hand, the Shinada reference discloses an ink cartridge that the chambers communicate through a communication hole located at the bottom of the chambers.

Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/853,889	RAZA, SAIYED ATIQ
	Examiner Beth Van Doren	Art Unit 3623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 02 December 2005.
 - (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) No reply has been received.
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. The reason(s) below:


TARIQ R. HAFIZ
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.